arXiv:1602.04994v1 [math.CA] 16 Feb 2016

JACOB'S LADDERS, $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-TRANSFORMATION OF REAL ELEMENTARY FUNCTIONS AND TELEGRAPHIC SIGNALS GENERATED BY THE POWER FUNCTIONS

JAN MOSER

Abstract. In this paper we show that the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-transformation of every unbounded signal based on increasing power function is a telegraphic signal, i.e. the unit rectangular signal.

1. Introduction

1.1. In this paper we use, instead of [4], (2.1), the following (ζ, Q^2)-oscillating system

$$G^2(x_1,\dots,x_k;y_1,\dots,y_k)=\prod_{r=1}^{k}\left|\frac{\zeta(1/2+ix_r)}{\zeta(1/2+iy_r)}\right|^2,\ k\le k_0,\ k_0\in\mathbb{N} \tag{1.1}$$

(k_0 is arbitrary and fixed). Next, we obtain for given admissible elementary real function

$$f(t),\ t\in[T,T+U],\ T>0 \tag{1.2}$$

the following factorization formula

$$\left|\frac{\zeta(1/2+i\alpha_r)}{\zeta(1/2+i\beta_r)}\right|\sim g[T,U,\alpha_0(T,U,k;f)],\ T\to\infty,$$

where α_0 obeys

$$0<\alpha_0(T,U)-T<U,$$

and (see [4], (4.7))

$$\alpha_0(T,U)=\varphi_1^k[d(T,U)],\ d\in(\overset{k}{T},\overset{k}{\widehat{T+U}}). \tag{1.3}$$

Here, φ_1 is the Jacob's ladder and φ_1^k is the k-th iteration of the φ_1. Finally, we define the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-transformation of the given function (1.2) as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(t)\\ t\in[T,T+U]\\ U\in(0,U_0)\end{pmatrix}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}}\begin{pmatrix} g[T,U,\alpha_0(T,U,k;f)]\\ U\in(0,U_0)\\ \alpha_0\in(T,T+U]\end{pmatrix},\ T>T_0>0,$$

for admissible $U_0>0$. Let us put, for brevity,

$$g[T,U,\alpha_0(T,U,\alpha_0(T,U))]=g[U;T],\ U\in(0,U_0), \tag{1.4}$$

for fixed k and f.

Key words and phrases. Riemann zeta-function.

1.2. The first and the main result concerning the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-transformation is that transformation of power functions

$$f(t)=t^{\Delta},\ t\in[T,T+U],\ 0<U<U_0=o\left(\frac{T}{\ln T}\right),\ \Delta\in\mathbb{R}$$

(Δ being arbitrary and fixed) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{\Delta} \\ t\in[T,T+U] \\ U\in(0,U_0)\end{pmatrix}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ U\in(0,U_0) \\ \alpha_0\in(T,T+U)\end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.5}$$

i.e. (see (1.4))

$$g(U;T)=1,\ T\to\infty$$

for every fixed element of the mentioned class.

1.3. The result (1.5) may be interesting from the point of view of the transformations of the deterministic signals (pulses) in the theory of communication. From this point of view, we can call the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-transformation as the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-device (comp. (1.1)).

Remark 1. From (1.5) it follows, for example, that the unbounded signal

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{1000} \\ t\in[L,L+U] \\ U\in(0,1/2)\end{pmatrix},\quad \forall L>L_0,\ L_0\in\mathbb{N}$$

on input of the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-device is transformed by this device into telegraphic signal

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ U\in(0,1/2) \\ \alpha_0\in(L,L+U)\end{pmatrix},\quad \forall L>L_0,\ L_0\in\mathbb{N},$$

i.e. into the unit rectangular signal.

Now, we give, for completeness, the following *opposite* example.

$$\begin{pmatrix} (t-L)^{\Delta} \\ t\in[L,L+U] \\ U\in(0,1/2)\end{pmatrix}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\Delta+1}\left(\frac{U}{\alpha_0-L}\right)^{\Delta} \\ U\in(0,1/2) \\ \alpha_0\in(L,L+U)\end{pmatrix},\ L>L_0,\ \Delta>0.$$

In this case:

(a) bounded simply behaved signal is on the input of the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-device,
(b) on the output of $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-device we obtain the signal of completely indefinite behavior since the distribution of values

$$\alpha_0=\varphi_1^k[d(U,T)],\ U\in(0,1/2)$$

is unknown.

Remark 2. Let us notice that to date there is no result about interaction of the real elementary functions with the Riemann's zeta-function $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)$.

2. Definition of the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-transformation

2.1. **The first application of the mean-value theorem.** Let

$$f(t),\ t\in[T,T+U],\ \forall T>T_0[f],\ U>0, \tag{2.1}$$

be continuous. Since

$$\int_T^{T+U} f(t)\mathrm{d}t = F(T+U)-F(T) = U\frac{F(T+U)-F(T)}{U} = UH(T,U), \tag{2.2}$$

then

$$\frac{1}{U}\int_T^{T+U} f(t)\mathrm{d}t == H(T,U). \tag{2.3}$$

2.2. **The second application of the mean-value theorem.** If (see [3], (7.1), (7.2))

$$0<U<U_0=o\Big(\frac{T}{\ln T}\Big) \tag{2.4}$$

then we have (comp. [4], (4.2)–(4.19)) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_T^{T+U} f(t)\mathrm{d}t = \\ &= \int_{\overset{k}{T}}^{\widehat{T+U}} f[\varphi_1^k(t)]\prod_{r=0}^{k-1}\tilde{Z}^2[\varphi_1^r(t)]\mathrm{d}t = \\ &= (\widehat{T+U}-\overset{k}{T})f(\alpha_0)\prod_{r=0}^{k}\tilde{Z}^2(\alpha_r),\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where (see [4], (4.7))

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_r=\varphi_1^{k-r}(d),\ r=0,1,\dots,k,\\ &\alpha_r=\alpha_r(T,U,k;f),\ \alpha_0\in(T,T+U).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (see (2.1), (2.5))

$$\prod_{r=1}^{k}\tilde{Z}^2(\alpha_r) = \frac{U}{\widehat{T+U}-\overset{k}{T}}\frac{H(T,U)}{f(\alpha_0)}. \tag{2.6}$$

2.3. **The third application of the mean-value theorem.** If

$$f(t)=1$$

then we have (comp. [4], (4.16))

$$\prod_{r=1}^{k}\tilde{Z}^2(\beta_r) = \frac{U}{\widehat{T+U}-\overset{k}{T}},\ \beta_r=\beta_r(T,U,k),\ r=1,\dots,k.$$

Finally, we have (comp. [4], (4.11), (4.13))

$$\begin{aligned}&\prod_{r=1}^{k}\left|\frac{\zeta(1/2+i\alpha_r)}{\zeta(1/2+i\beta_r)}\right|^2=\\&=\left\{1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln\ln T}{\ln T}\right)\right\}\frac{H(T,U)}{f(\alpha_0)}=\\&=\left\{1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln\ln T}{\ln T}\right)\right\}g[T,U,\alpha_0(T,U,k;f)],\ T\to\infty.\end{aligned}\tag{2.7}$$

2.4. Motivated by the formulae (2.3), (2.5) and (2.7), we give the following

Definition. We define the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-transformation acting on the subset $\{f(t)\}$ of the class of real elementary functions as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix}f(t)\\ t\in[T,T+U]\\ U\in(0,U_0)\end{pmatrix}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}}\begin{pmatrix}g[T,U,\alpha_0(T,U,k;f)]\\ U\in(0,U_0)\\ \alpha_0\in(T,T+U)\end{pmatrix}\tag{2.8}$$

for every fixed

$$T>\bar{T}_0=\bar{T}_0[f,\varphi_1]\geq\bar{T}_0[f].$$

Remark 3. We put, for brevity, that

$$g[T,U,\alpha(T,U,k;f)]=g(U;T)\tag{2.9}$$

for any admissible k and f.

3. The $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-transformation of the power functions

Let

$$\begin{aligned}&f(t)=f(t;\Delta)=t^{\Delta},\ t\in[T,T+U],\ T\geq\bar{T}_0,\\&\Delta\in\mathbb{R},\ 0<U<U_0=o\left(\frac{T}{\ln T}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

3.1. In the case

$$\Delta\neq-1,0$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_T^{T+U}t^{\Delta}\mathrm{d}t=\frac{1}{\Delta+1}\left\{(T+U)^{\Delta}-T^{\Delta}\right\}=\\&=\frac{1}{\Delta+1}T^{\Delta+1}\left\{\left(1+\frac{U}{T}\right)^{\Delta+1}-1\right\}=\\&=\frac{1}{\Delta+1}T^{\Delta+1}\left\{(\Delta+1)\frac{U}{T}+\mathcal{O}\left((\Delta+1)^2\frac{U^2}{T^2}\right)\right\}=\\&=UT^{\Delta}\left\{1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{U}{T}\right)\right\}=\\&=UT^{\Delta}\left\{1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ln T}\right)\right\},\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{U}\int_T^{T+U}t^{\Delta}\mathrm{d}t=T^{\Delta}\left\{1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ln T}\right)\right\}.\tag{3.2}$$

Now, from (3.2) by (2.7) the formula

$$\prod_{r=1}^{k}\left|\frac{\zeta(1/2+i\alpha_r^1)}{\zeta(1/2+i\beta_r^1)}\right| = \left\{1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln\ln T}{\ln T}\right)\right\}\left(\frac{T}{\alpha_0^1}\right)^{\Delta},$$

$$\alpha_r^1(T,U,k;\Delta),\ r=0,1,\dots,k, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\beta_r^1=\beta_r^1(T,U,k),\ r=1,\dots,k,$$

$$\alpha_0^1\in(T,T+U),\ \Delta\neq -1,0,$$

follows. Since (see (3.1))

$$\frac{T}{\alpha_0^1}=\frac{T}{T+\alpha_0^1-T}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{\alpha_0^1-T}{\alpha_0^1}}=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{U}{T}\right)= \tag{3.4}$$

$$=1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ln T}\right),$$

then we have (see (3.3), (3.4)) the following formula

$$\prod_{r=1}^{k}\left|\frac{\zeta(1/2+i\alpha_r^1)}{\zeta(1/2+i\beta_r^1)}\right|^2 = 1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln\ln T}{\ln T}\right),\ \Delta\neq -1,0. \tag{3.5}$$

3.2. In the case

$$\Delta=-1$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{U}\int_T^{T+U}\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}=\frac{1}{T}\left\{1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\ln T}\right)\right\},$$

and consequently that

$$\prod_{r=1}^{k}\left|\frac{\zeta(1/2+i\alpha_r^1)}{\zeta(1/2+i\beta_r^1)}\right|^2 = 1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln\ln T}{\ln T}\right). \tag{3.6}$$

3.3. Since

$$\Delta=0\ \Rightarrow\ f(t)=1$$

we have directly (comp. (2.7) the formula

$$\prod_{r=1}^{k}\left|\frac{\zeta(1/2+i\alpha_r^1)}{\zeta(1/2+i\beta_r^1)}\right|^2 = 1+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln\ln T}{\ln T}\right). \tag{3.7}$$

3.4. Consequently, we have obtained (see (2.8), (3.5)–(3.7)) the following

Theorem 1. Under the assumptions (3.1) the following holds true

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{\Delta} \\ t\in[T,T+U] \\ U\in(0,U_0)\end{pmatrix}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ U\in(0,U_0) \\ \alpha_0\in(T,T+U)\end{pmatrix},\ T\geq\bar{T}_0[\Delta,\varphi_1].$$

4. The $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-transformation of unbounded and negligible signals into telegraphic signals

4.1. Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{\Delta} \\ t \in [L, L+U] \\ U \in (0, a_L) \\ a_L \in (0, 1/2] \end{pmatrix}, \ \Delta \neq 0, \ L > \bar{L}_0 = \bar{L}_0[\Delta, \varphi_1], \ L \in \mathbb{N}$$

be the signal on the input of the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-device (see (1.3)). Then we have the following

Corollary.

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{\Delta} \\ t \in [L, L+U] \\ U \in (0, a_L) \\ a_L \in (0, 1/2] \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ U \in (0, a_L) \\ \alpha_0^1 \in (L, L+U) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall L > \bar{L}_0, \ \Delta \neq 0, \tag{4.1}$$

i.e. in this case we have (comp. (2.1)) that

$$g[L, U, \alpha_0^1(L, U, k; \Delta)] = g_L(U; \Delta) = 1.$$

Remark 4. Since

$$\lim_{L \to +\infty} L^{\Delta} = \begin{cases} +\infty & , \quad \Delta > 0, \\ 0 & , \quad \Delta < 0, \end{cases}$$

we call the signal (4.1) unbounded ($\Delta > 0$) and we call it negligible in the case $\Delta < 0$. The $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-device transforms those signals into telegraphic one.

4.2. We shall call the telegraphic signal (comp. (4.1))

$$S_L(U; a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ U \in (0, a) \\ a \in (0, 1/2] \\ \alpha_0 \in (L, L+a) \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.2}$$

as the periodic one since

$$S_L(U; a) = S_{L+1}(U; a), \ \forall L > \bar{L}_0.$$

Next, if the sequence

$$\{a_L\}_{L > \bar{L}_0}$$

is not a stationary one then we shall call the corresponding telegraphic signal as the aperiodic one.

Remark 5. We see (comp. (4.1) and (4.2)) that the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-device generates the continuum set of the periodic signals.

Remark 6. Of course, we may use in (4.1) instead of the sequence

$$L, L+1, L+2, \ldots, \ L > \bar{L}_0, \ L \in \mathbb{N}$$

an arbitrary sequence

$$\{L_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \ L_n \in \mathbb{R}, \ L_1 > \bar{L}_0,$$

and we may put

$$a_n \in \left(0, \frac{L_{n+1} - L_n}{2}\right]; \ U \in (0, a_n).$$

5. An opposite case: complete uncertainty of a signal on the output of $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-device

5.1. If

$$\begin{aligned} &f(t,\Delta)=(t-L)^{\Delta},\ t\in[L,L+U],\ \Delta>0,\\ &U\in(0,a_L),\ a_L\in(0,1/2], \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

then

$$\int_L^{L+U}(t-L)^{\Delta}\mathrm{d}t=\frac{1}{\Delta+1}U^{\Delta+1},$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{U}\int_L^{L+U}(t-L)^{\Delta}\mathrm{d}t=\frac{1}{\Delta+1}U^{\Delta},$$

and consequently, we have (see (2.8), (4.1)) the following

Theorem 2.

$$\begin{pmatrix}(t-L)^{\Delta}\\ t\in[L,L+U]\\ U\in(0,a_L)\\ a_L\in(0,1/2]\end{pmatrix}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}}\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{\Delta+1}\left(\frac{U}{\alpha_0^2-L}\right)^{\Delta}\\ U\in(0,a_L)\\ \alpha_0^2\in(L,L+U)\end{pmatrix} \tag{5.2}$$

for all

$$L>\bar{L}_0,\ \Delta>0.$$

Remark 7. In this case the signal

$$\frac{1}{\Delta+1}\left(\frac{U}{\alpha_0^2-L}\right)^{\Delta}$$

on the output of the $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-device is completely uncertain since we know about the values of the function

$$\alpha_0^2=\alpha_0^2(U),\ U\in(0,a_L)$$

(for fixed values of L,k,Δ) only that

$$\alpha_0^2(U)-L\in(0,U).$$

5.2. Here we give some remarks about the uncertainty mentioned above. Since for every

$$U\in(0,a_L)\ \Rightarrow\ 0<\alpha_0^2(U)-L<U,\ \Delta>0$$

(for fixed L,k,Δ) then either

$$0<\alpha_0^2(U)-L\le\frac{U}{2}, \tag{5.3}$$

or

$$\frac{U}{2}<\alpha_0^2(U)-L. \tag{5.4}$$

Now, in the case (5.3) we have

$$\frac{1}{\Delta+1}\left(\frac{U}{\alpha_0^2-L}\right)^{\Delta}\ge\frac{2^{\Delta}}{\Delta+1}, \tag{5.5}$$

and in the case (5.4) we have

$$\frac{1}{\Delta+1}\le\frac{1}{\Delta+1}\left(\frac{U}{\alpha_0^2-L}\right)^{\Delta}<\frac{2^{\Delta}}{\Delta+1}. \tag{5.6}$$

Remark 8. Inequalities (5.5) and (5.6) give some characterization of the distribution of the values

$$\alpha_0^2(U),\ U \in (0, a_L).$$

6. PROPERTIES OF THE $\mathcal{Z}_{\zeta,Q^2}$-TRANSFORMATION

(A). The sequence

$$\{\overset{k}{T}\}_{k=1}^{k_0},\ k_0 \in \mathbb{N},\ T > T_0 > 0 \tag{6.1}$$

is defined by the formula (comp. [3], (5.2))

$$\varphi_1(\overset{k}{T}) = \overset{k-1}{T},\ k = 1, \dots, k_0,\ \overset{0}{T} = T.$$

Since

$$\overset{k}{T} = \varphi_1^{-1}(\overset{k-1}{T}) = \varphi_1^{-1}(\varphi_1^{-1}(\dots \varphi_1^{-1}(T))) = \varphi_1^{-k}(T),$$

then we call the sequence (6.1) as the reversely iterated one. Now, we have (similarly to (6.1)) the following. To every segment

$$[T, T+U],\ T > T_0$$

there is the sequence

$$\{[\overset{r}{T}, \overset{r}{\widehat{T+U}}]\}_{r=0}^{k},\ k \le k_0$$

of the reversely iterated segments, where

$$[\overset{0}{T}, \overset{0}{\widehat{T+U}}] = [T, T+U].$$

Since (comp. [4], (4.7))

$$d \in (\overset{k}{T}, \overset{k}{\widehat{T+U}}) \ \Rightarrow\ \varphi_1^r(d) \in (\overset{k-r}{T}, \overset{k-r}{\widehat{T+U}}),\ r = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

then we put

$$\alpha_{k-r} = \varphi_1^r(d),\ r = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

Of course,

$$\alpha_0 = \varphi_1^k(d).$$

For

$$\beta_r,\ r = 1, \dots, k$$

similar properties hold true (comp. [4], (4.17)).

(B). Next, the sequences

$$\{\alpha_r\}_{r=0}^{k},\ \{\beta_r\}_{r=0}^{k} \tag{6.2}$$

have the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} &T < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_k \\ &T < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_k \\ &\alpha_0 \in (T, T+U), \\ &\alpha_r, \beta_r \in (\overset{r}{T}, \overset{r}{\widehat{T+U}}),\ r = 1, \dots, k, \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

and (see [3], (5.12))

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_{r+1}-\alpha_r \sim (1-c)\pi(T),\ r=0,1,\dots,k-1,\\ &\beta_{r+1}-\beta_r \sim (1-c)\pi(T),\ r=1,\dots,k-1, \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$\pi(T) \sim \frac{T}{\ln T},\ T\to\infty \tag{6.5}$$

is the prime-counting function and c is the Euler's constant.

Remark 9. Jacob's ladder can be viewed, by the formula (see [1], (6.2))

$$T-\varphi_1(T) \sim (1-c)\pi(T),$$

as an asymptotic complementary function to the function

$$(1-c)\pi(T)$$

in the following sense

$$\varphi_1(T)+(1-c)\pi(T) \sim T,\ T\to\infty.$$

Remark 10. The asymptotic behavior of the sequences (6.2) is as follows: if $T\to\infty$ then the points of every sequence in (6.2) recede unboundedly each from other and all together recede to infinity. Hence, at $T\to\infty$ each sequence in (6.2) behaves as one-dimensional Friedmann-Hubble universe.

(C). Let us remind that the Jacob's ladder

$$\varphi_1(t)=\frac{1}{2}\varphi(t)$$

has been introduced in our work [1] (see also [2]), where the function $\varphi(t)$ is an arbitrary solution of the nonlinear integral equation

$$\int_0^{\mu[x(T)} Z^2(t)e^{-\frac{2}{x(T)}t}\mathrm{d}t=\int_0^T Z^2(t)\mathrm{d}t,$$

where each admissible function

$$\mu(y)$$

generates the solution

$$y=\varphi(T;\mu)=\varphi(T),\ \mu(y)\geq ty\ln y.$$

The function $\varphi_1(T)$ is called the Jacob's ladder corresponding to the Jacob's dream in Chumash, Bereishis, 28:12.

Remark 11. By making use of those Jacob's ladders we have shown (see [1]) that the classical Hardy-Littlewood integral (1918)

$$\int_0^T \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)\right|^2\mathrm{d}t$$

has – in addition to the previously known Hardy-Littlewood expression (and other similar to that one) possessing an unbounded error at $T\to\infty$ – the following set of almost exact representations

$$\int_0^T \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)\right|^2\mathrm{d}t=\varphi_1(T)\ln T+(c-\ln 2\pi)\varphi_1(T)+c_0+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln T}{T}\right),\ T\to\infty,$$

where c is the Euler's constant and c_0 is the constant from the Titchmarsh-Kober-Atkinson formula.

(D). We call the system (ζ, Q^2) as the oscillating system. This is based on the spectral form of the Riemann-Siegel formula (see [4], (3.8))

$$Z(t) = \sum_{n \le \tau(x_r)} \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \cos\{t\omega_n(x_r) + \psi(x_r)\} + R(x_r),$$

$$\tau(x_r) = \sqrt{\frac{x_r}{2\pi}},\ R(x_r) = \mathcal{O}(x_r^{-1/4}),$$

$$t \in [x_r, x_r + V],\ V \in (0, x_r^{1/4}),$$

where the functions

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \cos\{t\omega_n(x_r) + \psi(x_r)\}$$

are the Riemann's oscillators with:

(a) the amplitude

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}},$$

(b) the incoherent local phase constant

$$\psi(x_r) = -\frac{x_r}{2} - \frac{\pi}{8},$$

(c) the nonsynchronized local time

$$t(x_r) \in [x_r, x_r + V],$$

(d) the local spectrum of cyclic frequencies

$$\{\omega_n(x_r)\}_{n \le \tau(x_r)},\ \omega_n(x_r) = \frac{\tau(x_r)}{n},$$

and similar formulae take place also for $x_r \longrightarrow y_r$.

Of course,

$$Z(t) = e^{i\vartheta(t)} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) \ \Rightarrow\ |Z(t)| = \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\right|.$$

I would like to thank Michal Demetrian for his help with electronic version of this paper.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Moser, 'Jacob's ladders and almost exact asymptotic representation of the Hardy-Littlewood integral', Math. Notes 88, (2010), 414-422, arXiv: 0901.3937.

[2] J. Moser, 'Jacob's ladders, structure of the Hardy-Littlewood integral and some new class of nonlinear integral equations', Proc. Steklov Inst. 276 (2011), 208-221, arXiv: 1103.0359.

[3] J. Moser, 'Jacob's ladders, reverse iterations and new infinite set of L_2-orthogonal systems generated by the Riemann zeta-function, arXiv: 1402.2098.

[4] J. Moser, 'Jacob's ladders, Riemann's oscillators, quotient of the oscillating multiforms and set of metamorphoses of this system', arXiv: 1506.00442.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND NUMERICAL MATHEMATICS, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY, MLYNSKA DOLINA M105, 842 48 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA

E-mail address: jan.mozer@fmph.uniba.sk